UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

GARY BARNES,

-,

Civil No. 10-3162 (JRT/FLN)

Plaintiff,

٧.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

CITY OF COON RAPIDS REPRESENTIVE LEAGUE OF MINNESOTA CITIES,

Defendant(s).

Plaintiff commenced this action on July 29, 2010, by filing a civil complaint, and an application seeking leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ("IFP"). (Docket Nos. 1 and 3.) The Court previously examined Plaintiff's submissions, and determined that his complaint was gravely deficient. Therefore, in an order dated August 24, 2010, (Docket No. 4), the Court informed Plaintiff that his IFP Application would "not be granted at this time." That order gave Plaintiff an opportunity to file an amended complaint, and expressly advised him that if he did not file a new pleading by September 17, 2010, the Court would recommend that this action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The deadline for filing an amended complaint has now expired. To date, however, Plaintiff has not complied with the Court's prior order, nor has he offered any excuse for his failure to do so. Indeed, Plaintiff has not communicated with the Court at all since he filed his complaint. Therefore, the Court will now recommend, in accordance with the prior order, that this action be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). See Henderson v. Renaissance Grand Hotel, 267 Fed.Appx. 496, 497 (8th Cir. 2008)

(unpublished opinion) ("[a] district court has discretion to dismiss an action under Rule

41(b) for a plaintiff's failure to prosecute, or to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure or any court order"); see also Link v. Wabash Railroad Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-

31 (1962) (recognizing that a federal court has the inherent authority to "manage [its] own

affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases").

Based upon the above, and upon all the records and proceedings herein,

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

1. Plaintiff's Application To Proceed In Forma Pauperis, (Docket No. 2), be **DENIED**;

and

2. This action be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**.

Dated: October 12, 2010

s/ Franklin L. Noel

FRANKLIN L. NOEL

United States Magistrate Judge

Pursuant to the Local Rules, any party may object to this Report and Recommendation by filing with the Clerk of Court and serving on all parties, on or before **October 27, 2010**, written objections which specifically identify the portions of the proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is being made, and a brief in support thereof. A party may respond to the objecting party's brief within ten days after service thereof. All briefs filed under the rules shall be limited to 3500 words. A judge shall make a de novo determination of those portions to which objection is made. This Report and Recommendation does not constitute an order or judgment of the District Court, and it is, therefore, not appealable to the Circuit Court of Appeals.

2